Case 1:10-cv-0000it@S StockshePistriFile C99/25/11 Page 1 of 4 Western District Of Texas Austin Division

OTS C

ERKK. SOTER

Wayne Manemann, Plaintiff

7

Civil Action No. 1-10-CA-601-SS

That han Garrett, et.al.,
Defendant's

FILED

AMENDMENT TO CONFORM TO THE EVIDENCE

AUG 2 5 2011 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

THE HONORABLE JUDGE ROBERT PITMAN:

\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$

DEPUTY CLER

Comes now, Wayne Manemann, Plaintiff In pro se, and files this

ral Rules Civil Procedure. (FRCP)

Relevant Facts

- 1. Plaintiff filed Plaintiff's First Complaint For Damages August of 2010. Plaintiff filed his Amended Complaint September 28, 2010.
- 2. Plaintiff filed this civil action in pro se and has no legal training or education.
- 3. The evidence reviewed during the course of this suit shows that there are two (2) additional causes for this civil action that are not shown in the amended complaint and as such are not being considered as part of this civil action suit at this time. The e issues clamor for attention in their presence and their importance in this suit. These causes are:
 - A. The loss of plaintiff's right leg on or about 12-27-08.
 - B. The denial of plaintiff's schelduled medical appointment on 10-23-08 by defendant Stewart. Plaintiff was made aware of this denial during the process of this suit.
- 4. The plaintiff's leg was amputated after his release from Llano Jail but was the result of his treatment in Llano Jail.

- 5. Plaintiff maintains it was always his intention to introduce as a cause in this civil action suit the loss of his leg. Plaintiff's First Complaint For Damages lists as a cause (Loss of leg, and physical pain and suffering) (See para. 1)
- 6. Plaintiff failed to list as a cause in his Amended Complaint the loss of his leg, physical pain and suffering.
- 7. In Rule 15(b), FRCP, it states that "...the court may allow the pleadings to be amended and shall do so freely when the presentation of the merits of the actionwill be subserved thereby..." and "Such amendment of the pleadings as may be necessary to cause them to conform to the evidence and to raise these issues may be made upon motion of any party at any time, even after judgment;".
- 8. Plaintiff had no idea of the specifics of the law and due to his lack of knowledge of legal requirements he failed to properly amend his complaint.
- 9. In Rule 15(c)(2) FRCP, it states "the claim or defence asserted in the amended pleading arose out of the conduct, transaction, or occurrence set forth or attempted to be set forth in the original pleading". In plaintiff's original pleading he listed as cause for this suit the loss of leg, physical pain and suffering.

Summary

If this court is to decide this suit on the merits, and not on ability of plaintiff to bring it, it must consider in its decision the issues brought forward in this amendment. Plaintiff's belief that the Amended Complaint would combine with his First Complaint and as such would become one should not be the bases on which this suit is brought to a judgment.

Plaintiff prays this court GRANT this Amendment To Conform To The Evidence and include in this civil action suit as cause the loss of plaintiff's leg, physical pain and suffering, and the denial of his scheduled medical appointment on October 23, 2008.

Respectfully Submitted,

Wayn Manemann, Plaintiff, In pro se

Vaine Manemann

Certificate Of Service

I, Wayne Manemann, certify a true and correct copy of the foregoing AMENDMENT TO CONFORM TO THE EVIDENCE has been placed in a postage pre-paid envelope and addressed to defendant's attorney at:

Sheri Hunter
Attorney at Law
919 Congress Ste. 1250
Austin Tx. 78701

and placed in the out-going mail on August 22, 2011.

Wayne Manemann, Plaintiff

Wayne Manemann

のかくとうないのです。

Wayne Manemann #1587365

Jester 3 Unit

77406

Richmond Tx. 3 Jester Rd.

學的 電影 医唇形 的复数 四种

RECEIVED

CLERK, U.S. LIRICT OF TEXAS WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

Clerk Of The Court U.S. Courthouse

Room 130 200 West 8th St. Austin Tx. 78701

DEPUTY CLERK